

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		50073-019	2315
09/257,506	02/25/1999	TATSUYA MATSUMURA	30073 013	
	02.112.12002			
20211	7590 03/13/2002		EXAMINER	
MCDERMO	TT WILL & EMERY		NELSON, ALECIA DIANE	
600 13TH STI	ON, DC 20005-3096	•		
WASIIIIOI	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	\sim
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002	. Y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

Application No. 09/257,506

Applicant(s)

Matsumura et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Alecia Nelson

2675

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. 	ication.
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). The mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) 💢 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 3, 2</u>	002 .
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) □ This ac	ction is non-final.
3) \square Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are rejected.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	·
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	niner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	eve been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha	eve been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bur *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second company. 	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti	
Attachment(s)	10) Interview Summany (PTO 412) Pages Nata)
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:
·	· _

Application/Control Number: 09/257506

Art Unit: 2675

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimamoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,147,672) in view of Otani (U.S. Patent No. 4,967,413).

With reference to the claims, there is taught a driving method of a liquid crystal display characterized in that when red, green, and blue color display data composed of plural bits (see column 5, lines 46-49) are transferred from a display timing circuit to a TFT drive circuit for

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/257506

Art Unit: 2675

driving a TFT liquid crystal panel to display (see column 6, lines 32-37). There is also taught a first control circuit for outputting the data of the group represented by certain data to the signal line when the coincidence of polarity has been detected by the detector circuit; and a second control circuit for outputting the data of the group restored from the certain data of the signal line to the drive circuit when the coincidence of polarity of bit has been detected by the detector circuit (see column 6, line 56-column 7, line 26).

Shimamoto fails to specifically teach that the transfer is performed with a time lag little by little for each bit unit formed of plural bits optionally selected from each of the color display data. However it is taught that it is necessary to slow down the transfer of display data (see column 1, lines 35-49).

Otani teaches the usage of a delay circuit (215) in a channel quality detector which reduces noise and other erroneous data (see column 5, line 55-column 6, line 24)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have a reduce the transfer time of the display data to the display drivers to thereby prevent the lost of data and also the probability that noise and other erroneous data are mistakenly handled.

Art Unit: 2675

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to *claims 1-18* have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 2023; or faxed to: (703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only). Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alecia D. Nelson whose telephone number is (703)305-0143.

If attempts to reach the above examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Saras, can be reached at (703)305-9720.

adn/ADN March 11, 2002

DENNIS-DOON CHOW PRIMARY EXAMINER